

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



*Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a*

VOL. LXXIX No. 20

November 14, 2017

89 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Ampero v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 327 C 953 . . . . .	23
Cook-Littman v. Board of Selectmen (Order), 327 C 956 . . . . .	26
Lederle v. Spivey (Order), 327 C 954 . . . . .	24
Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 327 C 955 . . . . .	25
State v. Patel (Orders), 327 C 932, 955 . . . . .	2, 25
State v. Spell (Order), 327 C 956 . . . . .	26
US Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order), 327 C 954 . . . . .	24
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Owen (Order), 327 C 955 . . . . .	25
Volume 327 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	27

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Dixon v. East Coast Music Mall (Memorandum Decision), 178 CA 901 . . . . .	55A
James v. Blackburn (Memorandum Decision), 178 CA 901 . . . . .	55A
Kellman v. Commissioner of Correction, 178 CA 63 . . . . .	3A
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to meaningfully present and explain pretrial plea offer from state; whether habeas court's finding that petitioner failed to demonstrate that he was prejudiced by counsel's allegedly deficient performance during pretrial plea negotiations was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses; whether petitioner established that it was reasonably probable that he would have accepted plea offer; whether habeas court improperly determined that petitioner failed to establish claim that trial counsel was ineffective by employing deficient trial strategy that pursued extreme emotional disturbance defense at trial, without consulting with expert on that defense prior to trial; whether habeas court's finding that trial counsel's decision not to retain expert witness in pursuing extreme emotional disturbance defense was reasonable strategic decision was clearly erroneous; whether petitioner demonstrated that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance in pursuing extreme emotional disturbance defense without consulting with expert witness.</i>	
Sovereign Bank v. Licata, 178 CA 82 . . . . .	22A
<i>Foreclosure; mootness; appellate jurisdiction; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction and appeal was moot because defendant's interest in property had been extinguished after law days passed; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to defendant; whether foreclosure judgment had been stayed by appeal from counterclaim; whether initial appellate stay of execution that arose when foreclosure judgment was rendered expired after appeal period for that judgment had run; whether there was appellate stay in effect with respect to foreclosure judgment when law days began to run; whether absolute title to property transferred to plaintiff as matter of law after all law days expired; whether final judgment disposing of counterclaim is separate and distinct from judgment on associated complaint; whether foreclosure judgment gave rise to distinct appeal period and appellate stay that was not affected by stay that resulted due to appeal from judgment on counterclaim.</i>	
State v. Manning (Memorandum Decision), 178 CA 902 . . . . .	56A
State v. Myers, 178 CA 102 . . . . .	42A
<i>Murder; reviewability of claim that defendant was harmed by trial court's improper exclusion from evidence of video interview of witness who was unavailable to</i>	

*(continued on next page)*

*testify where claim was raised for first time in reply brief; claim that harm resulting from court's allegedly erroneous ruling was implicit in defendant's principal brief such that defendant did not need to brief and analyze harmfulness of ruling in principal brief.*

State v. Stallworth (Memorandum Decision), 178 CA 901 . . . . .	55A
Williams v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 178 CA 902 . . . . .	56A
Volume 178 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	57A

### NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Motor Vehicles, Department of . . . . .	1B
---	----

### CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly* – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.